1 2 3 4 5 UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT WESTERN DISTRICT OF WASHINGTON 6 AT SEATTLE 7 8 BRIAN JAY VON ALLMEN Case No. C04-0550L 9 Plaintiff, 10 v. ORDER GRANTING DEFENDANT 11 F/V ANDRONICA, Official No. 622780, her JULIA LEE FISHING COMPANY'S MOTION IN LIMINE REGARDING engines, tackle and appurtenances, and 12 OPINIONS OF WILLIAM SHERMAN F/V JULIA LEE, Official No. 664893, her 13 engines, tackle and appurtenances, 14 In Rem; 15 ANDRONICA, INC, and JULIA LEE FISHING CO., 16 In Personam, 17 Defendants. 18 19 This matter comes before the Court on "Defendant Julia Lee Fishing Company's Motion 20 in Limine Regarding Opinions of William Sherman" (Dkt. #22) ("Motion"). The motion is 21 unopposed by Andronica, Inc. Julia Lee Fishing Co. requests exclusion of testimony from 22 William Sherman, Andronica Inc.'s weather and lighting expert on the grounds that Mr. 23 Sherman's opinions were based on data from the wrong day. See Motion at 2. The allision in 24 this matter occurred on July 6, 2001. See Ex. B at 1. Sherman's report uses data from July 14, 25 26 27 ORDER GRANTING DEFENDANT JULIA LEE FISHING COMPANY'S MOTION IN LIMINE 28 REGARDING OPINIONS OF WILLIAM SHERMAN - 1

ORDER GRANTING DEFENDANT JULIA LEE FISHING COMPANY'S MOTION IN LIMINE REGARDING OPINIONS OF WILLIAM SHERMAN - 2

conditions on July 6, 2001, the date of the incident.

For the foregoing reason, Defendant Julia Lee Fishing Company's Motion in Limine

2001. See Ex. A at 1. The opinions in Sherman's report are therefore irrelevant to the

For the foregoing reason, Defendant Julia Lee Fishing Company's Motion in Limine Regarding Opinions of William Sherman (Dkt. # 22) is GRANTED. William Sherman will not be permitted to testify.

DATED this 18th day of May, 2005.

MMS Casuk

Robert S. Lasnik

United States District Judge